

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,509	09/30/2003	John Y. Chen	55	6339
7	590 08/18/2006		EXAM	NER
Attn: John Y. Chen Applied Elastomerics, Inc.			LILLING, HERBERT J	
163 West Harris Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
South San Fran	cisco, CA 94080		1651	

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/675,509	CHEN, JOHN Y.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		HERBERT J. LILLING	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsiv	e to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju	lv 2006				
· ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
/ 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <i>1</i>	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>7-9</u> is/are rejected.					
·	are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers						
<u> </u>	cation is objected to by the Examine					
·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Evaminer			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/675,509 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the response filed July 26, 2006.

- 2. Claims 1-9 are pending in this application.
- 3. The amendment to the specification has been approved since the original abstract contained the specific range.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1-6 under first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: as submitted in paragraph 3 of the last office action has been withdrawn in view of the remarks by Applicant. The rejection of the claims for double patenting has been modified in view of the persuasive arguments for claims 6-9.
- 5. The rejection of the claims as stated in the previous office action has been maintains as recited which follows:

Claims 1-6 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims of U. S. Patent No. 6,627,275 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: whereby the claim states in Claim 4.

" A gas inflatable airbag of a vehicular safety restraint system to cushion an.."

which restraint system as disclosed in the specification clearly indicates the restraint combination inflators and retainers as recited:

"...both the internal crystal gel tubing and external elastic tubing can be axially expanded and fixed in place by a removable continuous <u>retainer</u>. Upon insertion of a spliced pair or bundle of cables or wires, the retainer can

Application/Control Number: 10/675,509

Art Unit: 1651

be removed, as the <u>retainer</u> is removed, the crystal gel and elastic tubing impinges onto the inserted cables or wires splices, thereby sealing the electrical splices against weather, water, dirt, corrosives and shielding the splice from external abuse. The enclosure is completed without the use of heat or flame as is conventionally performed.

1. Shape of gel expansion envelop. 2 Gel, 3 External retainer, 5 internal retainer, 6 reinforcing retainer, 7 mechanical retainer, 8 semi integral retainer, 9 integral pin retainer, 10 partial external integral retainer, 12 body, 13 gas inlet from fiter, 14 outer sheet, 15 inner sheet, 16 eye retainer ring cavity, 18, back partial integral retainer, retainer (integral reinforcing), 20 thin gel diagraphm, 21 thick gel diagraphm, 22 multiple progressive thiner gel diagraphm, 23 multiple progressive thicker gel diagraphm, 24 multiple single layer expansion control elements, 25 single single layer expansion control elements, 26 dual single layer expansion control elements. 27 multiple multiple layer expansion control elements, 28 multiple layer diverted elements..."

which patented claims scope in view of disclosure renders the claims prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ

Application/Control Number: 10/675,509

Art Unit: 1651

619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).1968). See also MPEP § 804.

The arguments have been deemed not to be persuasive since the claims do not exclude the gels of the reference. The arguments drawn to the differences between the hydrogenated block copolymer gels and the reference gels carry little weight especially since the argued limitations are not present in claims 1-6.

- 6. Claims 6-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,509

Art Unit: 1651

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Examiner Lilling whose telephone number is 571-272-0918**. and **Fax Number** is (703) 872-9306 or SPE Michael Wityshyn whose telephone number is 571-272-0926. Examiner can be reached Monday-Thursday from about 5:30 A.M. to about 3:00 P.M. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.J.Lilling: HJL (571) 272-0918 Art Unit <u>1651</u> August 15, 2006

Dr. Herbert J. Lilling Primary Examiner

Group 1600 Art Unit 1651